

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,370	09/17/2001	Patrick L. Connor	42390.P12273	8351
7	7590 03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
Lance A. Termes			HUYNH, KIM T	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2112	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/955,370	CONNOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kim T. Huynh	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecd drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/955,370 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt Acknowledgement

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the request filed on 21st of December 2004 for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on the application No. 09/461,643, which the request is acceptable and an RCE has been established. Claims 1, 22, 25 and 30 have been amended. Currently, claims 1-34 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Edholm (Pub No US20030067940)

As per claim 1, Edholm discloses A method, comprising:

monitoring a level of a packet queue of a protocol stack at a machine(fig.2,
 204 ie client computer); and [0030]

Art Unit: 2112

disabling a normal incoming packet procedure in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying an entry condition(ie not exceeded release to driver implies disabling [0030]) and enabling an alternate incoming packet procedure, the alternate incoming packet procedure including indicating new packets if any, to the protocol stack at an indication rate in response to a packet processing rate and altering the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying one or more secondary conditions, if any. [0026-0032], figure 6., ie exceeded wait before placing packets to the queue implies enabling. The controller 332 determine new packets have been received and determine transmission of the packet to a destination port will cause a transmission rate to exceed.)

As per claim 2, Edholm discloses the method further comprising, disabling the alternate incoming packet procedure and enabling the normal incoming packet procedure in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying an exit condition. [0029-0030]

As per claim 3, Edholm discloses wherein the normal incoming packet procedure includes generation of receive interrupts and automatic packet indication. [0034]

As per claim 4, Edholm discloses wherein the normal incoming packet procedure includes a polling technique. Figure 6, counter implies polling

As per claim 5, Edholm discloses wherein the level of the packet queue satisfying the entry condition comprises the level of the packet queue exceeding an initial threshold value. [0030]

Art Unit: 2112

As per claim 6, Edholm discloses wherein the indication rate comprises a rate equal to or less than a packet processing rate. [0030], figure 6

As per claim 7, Edholm discloses wherein the level of the packet queue satisfying the exit condition comprises the level of the packet queue falling below an exit threshold value. [0030]

As per claim 8, Edholm discloses wherein the level of the packet queue satisfying the entry condition comprises the level of the packet queue exceeding an initial threshold value, and the level of the packet queue satisfying the exit condition comprises the level of the packet queue falling below an exit threshold value.

[0030]

As per claim 9, Edholm discloses wherein the indication rate comprises a rate greater than a packet processing rate, and altering the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying one or more secondary conditions comprises reducing the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue exceeding a limiting threshold value. [0029-0030]

As per claim 10, Edholm discloses wherein reducing the indication rate comprises reducing the indication rate to a rate equal to or less than the packet processing rate. [0030]

As per claim 11, Edholm discloses wherein altering the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying one or more secondary conditions further comprises increasing the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue falling below a nonlimiting threshold value. [0030]

As per claim 12, Edholm discloses wherein increasing the indication rate comprises increasing the indication rate to a rate greater than the packet processing rate. [0030]

As per claim 13, Edholm discloses wherein the level of the packet queue corresponds to a number of outstanding packets. [0030]

As per claim 14, Edholm discloses wherein the level of the packet queue corresponds to a number of receive packet buffers. [0030]

As per claim 15, Edholm discloses wherein monitoring the level of the packet queue of the protocol stack comprises:

- identifying a number of packets indicated to the protocol stack; [0030]
- identifying a number of packets processed by the protocol stack; and
- calculating a difference between the number of packets indicated to the
 protocol stack and the number of packets processed by the protocol stack,
 wherein the difference comprises a value corresponding to the level of the
 packet queue of the protocol stack. [0029-30], figure 6, counter)

As per claim 16, Edholm discloses wherein monitoring the level of the packet queue of the protocol stack comprises:

- identifying an initialization number of receive packet buffers; [0030]
- identifying a number of available receive packet buffers in host memory;
 [0030] and
- calculating a difference between the initialization number of receive packet buffers and the number of available receive packet buffers in host

Art Unit: 2112

memory, wherein the difference comprises a value corresponding to the level of the packet queue of the protocol stack. [0029-0030]

As per claim 17, Edholm discloses wherein the initial threshold value corresponds to a number of receive packet buffers, the number of receive packet buffers equal to a percentage of an initialization number of receive packet buffers. [0030]

As per claim 18, Edholm discloses wherein the initial threshold value corresponds to a number of outstanding packets. [0030]

As per claim 19, Edholm discloses wherein the exit threshold value is less than or equal to the initial threshold value. [0030]

As per claim 20, Edholm discloses wherein the packet processing rate comprises a rate at which receive packet buffers are returned to a device driver from the protocol stack. [0030]

As per claim 21, Edholm discloses wherein the packet processing rate comprises a rate at which packets are processed by the protocol stack. [0030] As per claim 22, Edholm discloses a method, comprising:

monitoring a level of a packet queue of a protocol stack at a computer
(fig.2, 204 ie client computer), wherein the level of the packet queue
corresponds to outstanding packets(ie packets release implies
outstanding packets) that have been received at the computer and are
awaiting processing; and [0030]

Application/Control Number: 09/955,370

Art Unit: 2112

in response to the level of the packet queue exceeding an initial threshold value, disabling generation of receive interrupts at the computer, disabling automatic packet indication, identifying new packets received at the computer, if any, and indicating new packets at the computer, if any, to the protocol stack at an indication rate equal to or less than a packet processing rate of the protocol stack; and [0030-0032]

Page 7

• in response to a decrease in the level of the packet queue below an exit threshold value, enabling the generation of receive interrupts at the computer, and enabling the automatic packet indication at the computer. [0030-0032]

As per claim 23, Edholm discloses wherein the initial threshold value corresponds to a number of outstanding packets. [0030]

As per claim 24, Edholm discloses wherein the exit threshold value is less than or equal to the initial threshold value. [0030]

As per claims 25, 30, Edholm discloses an apparatus, comprising:

- a processor; [0039]
- a memory, coupled to the processor, to store a plurality of machine
 instructions including a protocol stack and a device driver; and [0030]
- a communications interface (fig.1, 104), coupled to the processor, and capable of being connected to a network to receive packets from the network(fig.2, 208);

Application/Control Number: 09/955,370

Art Unit: 2112

wherein execution of the machine instructions by the processor cause the apparatus to monitor a level of a packet queue of the protocol stack, and to disable a normal incoming packet procedure at the apparatus associated with the communications interface and the device driver in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying an entry condition and enable an alternate incoming packet procedure at the apparatus associated with the communications interface and the device driver, the alternate incoming packet procedure including the device driver indicating new packets received at the communications interface, if any, to the protocol stack at an indication rate in response to a packet processing rate and altering the indication rate of the protocol stack in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying one or more secondary conditions, if any. [0026-0032]

Page 8

As per claims 26, 31, Edholm discloses wherein execution of the machine instructions by the processor further cause the apparatus to disable the alternate incoming packet procedure and enable the normal incoming packet procedure in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying an exit condition. [0030] As per claims 27, 32, Edholm discloses wherein the level of the packet queue satisfying the entry condition comprises the level of the packet queue exceeding an initial threshold value, the indication rate comprises a rate equal to or less than the packet processing rate, and the level of the packet queue satisfying the

exit condition comprises the level of the packet queue falling below an exit threshold value. [0030], figure 6.

As per claims 28, 33, Edholm discloses wherein the indication rate comprises a rate greater than a packet processing rate, and altering the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying one or more secondary conditions comprises reducing the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue exceeding a limiting threshold value. [0030]

As per claims 29, 34, Edholm discloses wherein altering the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying one or more secondary conditions further comprises increasing the indication rate in response to the level of the packet queue falling below a nonlimiting threshold value. [0030]

Response to Amendment

- 4. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/21/04 have been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance.
- a. In response to applicant's argument that Edholm is directed to end node pacing. In Hdholm, the transmit queue located at the transmitting device is associated with the rate packets are received at the receiving device. However, Edholm does not disclose changing incoming packet procedures of a device based at least in part on a packet queue of the same device. Thus Edholm fails to disclose "monitoring a level of a packet queue of a protocol stack at a machine; and disabling a normal incoming packet procedure at the machine in response to the level of the packet queue satisfying an entry condition and enabling an alternate incoming packet procedure at the machine".

Application/Control Number: 09/955,370 Page 10

Art Unit: 2112

Examiner respectfully disagrees. As Edholm notes at [0026-0032], discloses system 200 to pace data transferred between an end node (ie client computer 204) and network 208. The control application 216 of client computer 204 monitors a bandwidth for data transfer between network 208 and client computer 204. (this is equivalent to monitoring a level of a packet queue of protocol at a machine as applicant's claimed) thus transferring data from network 208 to computer 204 is not the same device as applicant argued. Furthermore, the control application 216 determines that the bandwidth limitations of the transmission rate not been exceeded, the control releases the pointer to the driver(this is equivalent to disabling a normal incoming packet procedure at the machine as applicant claimed). And if the control application 216 included in client computer 204 is determined the bandwidth limitations of the transmission rate is exceeded wait before placing packets to the queue(this is equivalent to enabling an alternate incoming packet procedure at the machine (ie client computer 204). The controller 216 is determines new packets have been received and determine transmission of the packet to a destination port will cause a transmission rate to exceed. Thus, the prior art teaches the invention as claimed and the amended claims do not distinguish over the prior art as applied.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9.00AM- 6:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at (571)272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.Rinehart@uspto.gov].

Application/Control Number: 09/955,370 Page 11

Art Unit: 2112

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

March 17, 2005

TIM VO PRIMARY EXAMINER